28

THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (ii) that this case is the case is sufficiently unusual and complex, due the combination of the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, as well as the existence of novel questions of fact, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation by the parties for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself by the current trial date, or for the immediate future.

THE COURT THEREFORE FINDS that failure to grant the continuance in this case would likely make the continuation of these related proceedings impossible and result in a miscarriage of justice, because failing to continue this matter for a considerable period of time would deny counsel for the parties the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, due to defense counsels' need for more time to review the considerable volume of discovery and evidence produced, and still to be produced, and to consider possible defenses and motions, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THE COURT FINDS, in light of these factors, that it is unlikely that the parties can be reasonably ready to try this matter before September 9, 2019.

THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(6) and (7), that this is a reasonable period of delay in that the Defendant has indicated he requires more time to prepare for trial. The Court finds that given the complexity of the case, the number of defendants, and the volume of discovery produced, and still to be produced, that more time is, in fact, necessary.

THIS COURT FINDS, therefore, that pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(6) and 3161(h)(7), the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and that they outweigh the interests of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial.

THIS COURT FURTHER FINDS that all of the additional time requested between the current trial date of and the new trial date of September 9, 2019, is necessary to provide counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 |

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS, that this Defendant is charged with and joined to other Defendants in this matter. This case and the related cases arise out of a common investigation, including common wiretap applications and a common search warrant application. It is well established that in multi-defendant cases, a reasonable trial continuance as to any defendant tolls the Speedy Trial Act period as to all joined codefendants, even those who object to a trial continuance or who refuse to submit a waiver under the Speedy Trial Act. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6). Trial as to the other defendants has already been continued to September 9, 2019.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' stipulated motion (Dkt. #658) is GRANTED. The trial date will be continued as to this Defendant until September 9, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that other case deadlines shall be set per a separate Complex Case Management Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between the date of this Order and the new trial date of September 9, 2019, is excluded in computing the time within which a trial must be held pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161, et seq.

DATED this 27th day of March, 2019.

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge

Kichard A Jones